IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF CHHATTISGARH
AT BILASPUR

W.P.NO. 3281 OF 2003

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

PETITIONER : JAMIL AHMAD SIDDIQUI, S/O. LATE M.A.SIDDIQUI, AGED ABOUT 53 YEARS, PRINCIPAL GOVERNMENT BOYS HIGHER SECONDARY SCHOOL, MANENDRAGARH, DISTRICT KOREA R/O. MANENDRAGARH, DISTRICT KOREA (C.G.)

P. R. No. 3136/03
Presented by Shri U.P.N. Singh
dated 10/10/03

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS : 1) STATE OF CHHATTISGARH THROUGH SECRETARY DEPARTMENT OF SCHEDULED CASTE & SCHEDULED TRIBE DEVELOPMENT, DKS BHAWAN, MANTRALAYA RAIPUR (C.G.).

RECEIVED-COPY
10/10/03
Clerk to A. G. Bilaspur

: 2) THE DIRECTOR SCHEDULED CASTE & SCHEDULED TRIBE DEVELOPMENT, RAIPUR (C.G.).

: 3) S.K.SHARMA AGED ABOUT 53 YEARS S/O. SHRI T.P.SHARMA PRINCIPAL GOVERNMENT BOYS HIGHER SECONDARY SCHOOL, HALDIBADI, (CHIRMIRI) DISTRICT KOREA (C.G.).

6

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF APPROPRIATE WRIT/WRITS, DIRECTION/DIRECTIONS AND/OR ORDER/ORDERS :-

104

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition No. 3281 of 2003

Petitioner Jamil Ahmad Siddiqui

Versus

Respondents State of Chhattisgarh and others.

Single Bench : Hon'ble Mr. Justice **Satish K. Agnihotri**.

Shri K. A. Ansari, Senior counsel with Shri N.P. Kela, counsel for the petitioner.

Shri Pankaj Shrivastava, Panel lawyer for the respondents No. 1 and 2.

None for the respondent No. 3.

ORAL ORDER

(10th March, 2006)

The following order of the Court was passed by **Satish K. Agnihotri, J.**

1. This petition was filed, impugning the transfers order dated 1.10.2003 (Annexure P-21) and dated 3.10.2003 (Annexure P-22). Notices were issued to the respondents. The respondents No. 1 and 2 have filed their reply on 27.2.2006, stating that the petitioner had died on 24.12.2005. Death certificate of the Chief Municipal Officer, Manendragarh (Annexure R-1) was also annexed to the return.

2. In the facts of the case, Shri Ansari, learned senior counsel with Shri N.P. Kela, counsel submits that the petition has become infructuous.

3. Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed as having become infructuous. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Bablu